IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

JEREMY KERR,)	CASE NO. 3:15 CV 2006
	Plaintiff,)	JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
V.)	MAGISTRATE JUDGE
v.)	WILLIAM H. BAUGHMAN, JR.
NEIL TURNER,)	
)	REPORT & RECOMMENDATION
	Defendant.)	

Before me by referral¹ in this matter of Jeremy Kerr's *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254² is a motion by Kerr for summary judgment.³ The State has responded in opposition to the motion.⁴

I note first that the State has only recently filed a return of the writ⁵ together with its submission of the underlying record of proceedings. Kerr, in turn, responded with a traverse⁶ filed the same day as the present motion re-presenting his motion for summary judgment.⁷

¹ECF # 8.

²ECF # 1.

³ECF # 16.

⁴ECF # 17.

⁵ECF # 14.

⁶ECF # 15.

⁷ECF # 16.

Case: 3:15-cv-02006-CAB Doc #: 19 Filed: 02/09/16 2 of 2. PageID #: 830

In this current motion, I further note that Kerr has denied that the state court record is entitled

to a presumption of correctness.8

As the State observes, summary judgment is not allowed when material facts are in

dispute.9 Here, Kerr's claim that the state court's factual findings are incorrect of itself

alleges a dispute as to material facts underlying this conviction.

Thus, I recommend that without prejudice to a final disposition of the petition upon

full review, the present motion for summary judgment be denied.

Dated: February 9, 2016

s/ William H. Baughman, Jr.

United States Magistrate Judge

⁸*Id*. at 3.

⁹ECF # 17 at 1.

-2-